IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.114 OF 2007
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO.120 OF 2007

Shri.Shyamrao Laxman Patil ...Applicant.
Versus
State of Maharashtra ...Respondent.

....

Mr.V.S.Katkar, Adv. for Applicant.
Mr.D.P.Adsule, APP, for State.

....

CORAM : SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.
DATED : 6th March, 2007.

P.C.:

1. Heard the learned Advocate for the Applicant-orig.accused No.1 and the learned APP for the State.

2. The applicant has been convicted under the Prevention of Corruption Act and under Section 420 and other sections of IPC. The maximum sentence imposed on the applicant is two years. The applicant is now seeking bail.

3. The learned Advocate for the applicant has submitted that the applicant was on bail during the trial and pendency of appeal and he has not misused the liberty granted to him. This statement is not controverted by the prosecution. The learned Advocate for the applicant has further

submitted that the maximum sentence of imprisonment is of two years and the appeal is not likely to be heard within such time. Hence, he has prayed that the applicant may be released on bail. The learned APP pointed out that the fine amount has not been paid by the applicant.

4. Looking to all these facts and evidence on record, I am inclined to release the applicant on bail.

5. The applicant to be released on bail in the sum of Rs.25,000/-with one or two sureties to make up the said amount. The applicant shall deposit the fine amount within a period of three months from his release from jail. If the applicant fails to deposit the fine amount within the above stipulated period, the bail granted to the applicant shall stand cancelled automatically and the applicant shall be taken into custody.

6. Application is disposed of accordingly.

(SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.)